

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.: 2:23-cv-09285-SB-MAR

Date: January 19, 2024

Title: *Ursula Byram et al v. County of Los Angeles et al.*

Present: The Honorable **STANLEY BLUMENFELD, JR., U.S. District Judge**

Jennifer Graciano

Amy Diaz

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

Thomas Hurrell; Nicole Galvan Ortega

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

Dale Galipo

**Proceedings:** **[Minutes of] Mandatory Scheduling Conference (Dkt. No. [20](#))  
(Held and Completed)**

Case called and appearances made. The Court heard from the parties about the contents of their joint Rule 26(f) report. By no later than February 2, 2024, the parties shall: exchange supplemental disclosures described on the record; meet and confer about the return of the cell phone and laptop referenced in the joint report; meet and confer about whether to consent to a magistrate judge for all purposes; and the parties shall file a supplemental joint status report disclosing the status of any of the preceding issues that remain outstanding.

If the parties decide to consent to a magistrate judge, they shall first contact the courtroom deputy of the desired magistrate judge on the Voluntary Consent List to confirm availability and then attach [Form CV-11D \(Statement of Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge\)](#) to a Notice of Lodging of Consent Form, which shall be e-filed as required by Local Rule 5-4.4 as a proposed document. The case management order will issue by February 5 if the parties do not submit a consent form.

:17